organic papers

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Hendrik G. Kruger, Melanie Rademeyer* and Reshika Ramdhani

School of Chemistry, Howard College Campus, University of KwaZulu-Natal, Durban 4041, South Africa

Correspondence e-mail: rademeyerm@ukzn.ac.za

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 173 K Mean σ (C–C) = 0.003 Å R factor = 0.062 wR factor = 0.172 Data-to-parameter ratio = 16.5

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

Pentacyclo[5.4.0.0^{2,6}.0^{3,10}.0^{5,9}]undecane-8,11-dione ethylene acetal

The title molecule, $C_{13}H_{14}O_3$, is a chiral molecule which exhibits C–C single-bond lengths that deviate from the expected value. Both enantiomers are present in the crystal structure.

Received 23 November 2005 Accepted 13 December 2005 Online 16 December 2005

Comment

The structure of the title compound, (I), was determined as part of an ongoing investigation of the chemical reactivity and crystal structures of polycyclic pentacycloundecane (PCU) cage derivatives. Previous studies indicated that PCU cages and derivatives often display C–C bond lengths that deviate from the expected value of 1.54 Å (Flippen-Anderson *et al.*, 1991; Linden *et al.*, 2005; Kruger *et al.*, 2005). It is typically found that bonds involving atoms C4, C8 and C11 are shorter than normal, and that the C9–C10 bond is longer than expected.



The title compound is unsymmetrically substituted at the mouth of the cage, with a ketone substituent at atom C8 and a ketal substituent at atom C11 (Fig. 1). The asymmetric unit consists of one chiral molecule, with eight molecules (four of each enantiomer) in the unit cell (Fig. 2). This compound exhibits the shortening and elongation of certain C-C single bonds, as reported for related PCU derivatives (Table 1). The longest C–C single bond is the C9–C10 bond [1.581 (3) Å], with the C3-C10, C6-C7, C5-C9, C2-C6, C5-C6 and C1-C7 bonds also exceeding the expected value of 1.54 Å. The bonds involving the bridgehead atom C4 are shorter than expected; C3-C4 and C4-C5 exhibit values of 1.525 (3) and 1.521 (3) Å, respectively. The shortest bond is the C7-C8bond [1.509 (3) Å], with the C10-C11, C8-C9 and C12-C13 bonds also exhibiting short C–C single-bond lengths. The unsymmetrical substitution at the mouth of the cage results in corresponding bonds in the molecule displaying different bond lengths, for example, the C1-C11 bond [1.536 (3) A] is longer than the corresponding C7–C8 bond.

The five-membered rings, C2–C6 and C3–C5/C9/C10, are each in an envelope conformation (flap ato C4), and the acetal ring, O1/C11/O2/C13/C12, also adopts an envelope confor-

Printed in Great Britain - all rights reserved

© 2006 International Union of Crystallography

mation (flap atom C1). The rings, C1-C3/C10/C11 and C5-C9, are twisted on C10-C11 and C8-C9, respectively.

Experimental

The synthetic procedure reported by Dekker & Oliver (1979) was followed to prepare the title compound, (I). In this procedure, a mixture of pentacycloundecanedione (Cookson et al., 1964) (183 g, 1.05 mol), ethylene glycol (81.2 ml, 1.45 mol) and p-toluenesulfonic acid (6.11 g, 3.21×10^{-2} mol) in benzene (600 ml) was refluxed (Dean-Stark trap) with stirring for 4 d. The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and slowly poured into ice-cold 10% (v/v) aqueous Na₂CO₃ (1000 ml), extracted with dichloromethane (3 \times 500 ml) and the combined extracts were dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄. Evaporation of the solvent followed by recrystallization of the crude residue yielded the monoacetal as colourless crystals (170.04 g, 74%). IR (KBr) max 1747 cm⁻¹ (C=O); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz): δ 1.51–1.84 (2H, methylene bridge protons), 2.35–2.92 (8H, methine protons), 3.78-3.91 (4H, ketal protons); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz): δ 36.35 (d), 38.74 (t), 41.33–53.02 (d), 64.52–65.71 (t, ketal carbons), 113.92 (s), 215.14 (s). The MS spectrum was identical to that of an authentic sample.

> $D_x = 1.455 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo K\alpha radiation Cell parameters from 3784 reflections $\theta = 3.2-28.2^{\circ}$ $\mu = 0.10 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 173 (2) KPlate, colourless $0.37 \times 0.22 \times 0.11 \text{ mm}$

1984 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.026$

 $\theta_{\rm max} = 28.0^{\circ}$ $h = -32 \rightarrow 32$

 $\begin{array}{l} k=-8\rightarrow8\\ l=-16\rightarrow11 \end{array}$

Crystal data

$C_{13}H_{14}O_3$
$M_r = 218.24$
Monoclinic, $C2/c$
a = 24.7387 (10) Å
b = 6.6340(3) Å
c = 12.7631(5) Å
$\beta = 107.914 \ (2)^{\circ}$
$V = 1993.09 (14) \text{ Å}^3$
Z = 8

Data collection

Bruker SMART CCD area-detector diffractometer φ and ω scans Absorption correction: none 10114 measured reflections 2394 independent reflections

Refinement

Refinement on F^2	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0769P)^2]$
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.062$	+ 5.0276P]
$wR(F^2) = 0.172$	where $P = (F_0^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
S = 1.06	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$
2394 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.58 \text{ e} \text{ \AA}^{-3}$
145 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.27 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
H-atom parameters constrained	

Table 1		_
Selected	bond length	s (Å).

C11-C10	1.510 (3)	C5-C6	1.560 (3)
C11-C1	1.536 (3)	C9-C8	1.514 (3)
C10-C3	1.556 (3)	C8-C7	1.509 (3)
C10-C9	1.581 (3)	C7-C6	1.557 (3)
C3-C4	1.525 (3)	C7-C1	1.569 (3)
C3-C2	1.537 (3)	C6-C2	1.558 (3)
C4-C5	1.521 (3)	C2-C1	1.550 (3)
C5-C9	1.557 (3)	C12-C13	1.524 (3)

All H atoms were placed in calculated positions, with methylene C-H distances of 0.99 Å and methine C-H distances of 1.00 Å, and refined using a riding model, with $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}$ (parent atom).



Figure 1

The molecular structure of (I), showing the atom-numbering scheme and displacement ellipsoids drawn at the 50% probability level (*ORTEP3*; Farrugia, 1997).



Figure 2

Packing diagram (*MERCURY*; Bruno *et al.*, 2002) of (I), viewed along the *b* axis.

Data collection: *SMART* (Bruker, 1998); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 1999); data reduction: *SAINT*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXTL* (Bruker, 1999); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *ORTEP3* (Farrugia, 1997) and *MERCURY* (Bruno *et al.*, 2002); software used to prepare material for publication: *WinGX* (Farrugia, 1999) and *PLATON* (Spek, 2003).

We thank the Jan Boeyens Structural Chemistry Laboratory of the University of the Witwatersrand, South Africa, for the structure determination.

References

Bruker (1998). SMART-NT. Version 5.050. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

Bruker (1999). SAINT-Plus (Version 6.02) and SHELXTL (Version 5.1). Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

- Bruno, I. J., Cole, J. C., Edgington, P. R., Kessler, M. K., Macrae, C. F., McCabe, P., Pearson, J. & Taylor, R. (2002). Acta Cryst. B58, 389-397.
- Cookson, R. C., Crundwell, E., Hill, R. R. & Hudec, J. (1964). J. Chem. Soc. pp. 3062-3075.
- Dekker, T. G. & Oliver, D. W. (1979). S. Afr. J. Chem. 32, 45-48.
- Farrugia, L. J. (1997). *J. Appl. Cryst.* **30**, 565. Farrugia, L. J. (1999). *J. Appl. Cryst.* **32**, 837–838.

Flippen-Anderson, J. L., George, C., Gilardi, R., Zajac, W. W., Walters, T. R., Marchand, A., Dave, P. R. & Arney, B. E. (1991). Acta Cryst. C47, 813-817.

- Kruger, H. G., Rademeyer, M. & Ramdhani, R. (2005). Acta Cryst. E61, 03968-03970.
- Linden, A., Romanski, J., Mloston, G. & Heimgartner, H. (2005). Acta Cryst. C61, o221-o226.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany. Spek, A. L. (2003). J. Appl. Cryst. 36, 7-13.